



Marina Community Association
1517 North Point Street, Box #531
San Francisco, CA 94123
www.sfmca.org

MCA Directors October 24, 2010
2010

VIA EMAIL DELIVERY

John G. Millar Eugene Flannery
President 1 South Van Ness Avenue 5th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94103
Mark Nagel eugene.flannery@sfgov.org
Vice President

Michael Spiegel Maria Cremer, Acting Director
Corporate Secretary United States Department of Housing and Urban Development
600 Harrison Street 3rd floor
San Francisco, CA 94107
Everett Harry Maria.F.Cremer@hud.gov
Treasurer

Brad Andersen **Re:** 3155 Scott Street, San Francisco, CA - Edward II Inn/Supportive Public Housing Project
Kat Anderson

Martin Beresford Dear Mr. Flannery,

Donald Carmignani In response to the Notice of Intent to Request Release of Funds published on October 15,
John Farrell 2010 (Notice), the Marina Community Association (MCA) objects to this Notice because
Alan Silverman such a request at this time is premature due to the ongoing environmental review and
Ariel Ungerleider entitlement procedures currently being conducted by the San Francisco Planning Department.
Further, the MCA objects because the project lacks required accessibility under the
Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), the calculated project cost has changed numerous
times and still does not include costs associated with ADA compliance, and the Mayor's
Office of Housing has yet to consider public comments prior to the submission of any request
for release of funds.

Project Lacks Compliance Under CEQA and is Inconsistent Under Applicable Zoning Laws

The Mayor's Office of Housing (MOH) is aware that this project has yet to pass CEQA review and it lacks necessary zoning law changes through the implementation of a Special Use District (SUD) which has not yet been produced by the San Francisco Planning Department and has yet to go before the Planning Commission for approval. The project sponsors have stated that the SUD is necessary in order for the project to proceed. The SUD legislation was removed from the Planning Commission calendar and as of today, no further hearings regarding this SUD legislation have been scheduled. Due to the ongoing CEQA review by the Planning Department and the lack of approval for a Special Use District (spot zoning) this project is not viable and should not request HUD funds at this time, such a request would be premature and improper.